Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/515,369	FISHER ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Daniel M Sullivan	1636
All Participants:	Status of Application	n: <u>Allowed</u>
(1) <u>Daniel M Sullivan</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>Lisa Kole</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 22 April 2004	Time:	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applic Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ Yes, provide a brief description:	ant's representative)	
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		
Claims discussed: 7 Prior art documents discussed:		
Part II. SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENE See Continuation Sheet	RAL NATURE OF WHAT	WAS DISCUSSED:
Part III.		
 ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summar 	e examiner will provide a record of the substance of	written summary of the substance of the interview, since the interview
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant	t/Annlicant's Danragantati	vo Cignoturo 15 access 11
(Applican	nyhhiidanta kehtesentatt	ve Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The Examiner requested authorization to amend claim 7 to correct the Markush language therein and to delete reference to a method of treating cancer from the abstract as the allowed claims do not encomapass a method of treating cancer. The examiner also requested clarification of the statement in the remarks filed with the 18 March 2004 amendment indicating incorporation by reference of the 5 December 2003 amendment. Applicant's representative confirmed that the claim limitations present in the 5 December amendment are not to be incorporated into the 18 March claim set..